

As before



The Honble Co. 10 July '60.

My dear Sir

I thought that I had anticipated your question by my tedious note to the first Wycheley letter, but no extent of forethought will guard against all difficulties in a Paper negotiation. If you will read that note again you will see that the much talked of Letter of 1735 is a mere reprint of the P.T. (Bookellers) edit, therefore of no value to your education.

You despatchly appear to arise in this way, and I suggest the necessity of additions to my already too long note.

There are two editions - or rather two editions of a part of the P.T. (Bookellers) of 1735. The first, of which the Wycheley letter of 1729 formed a part, has an Errata, and Mr Thomas carefully copied my note 13 May 1760, in which I referred to that edition. What is there said applies also to the 'horn-bled' or the B.D. edit of 1735.

In the second issue of this P.T. (Bookellers) the Wycheley letter was reprinted. I suspect that there were not enough remainings of the 1729 to supply the demand of 1735. The reprinting of the Wycheley is certain - that the reprinting was limited to the Wycheley is almost

equally certain. All the entries pointed out in the Wycheley
are corrected in this second issue. But the entries
for beyond Wycheley -

" Pg 36 for pennia die, proinordie p 109 line
15 for woman + women.

Now these are not corrected in ~~either~~ first or
second issue - not corrected in your copy, which I know
to be of the second issue because the note to the Wycheley
mentions 'The Study Library'?

Consequently on the reprinting the Wycheley the whole
pagination of the book will have to be changed - and
you will not find the passages referred to in the
entries at pp 93 and 109. Try pp 101 & 195 -
these you will find not corrected.

Can you add these to the substance to my
long note to make a short one of it? If not, I
have tried my hand at the addition.

Name all the editors referred to many
more - but dare not lead one of them, they are
my moral vouchers. Cuck however is common.
At Murray can hunt one up in three days -
I would try - but that my horse started this

morning for Knoxville to get me a horse shoe and
to follow the beginning of next week, and I only
left at 10 o'clock but might be late for post, I have
my own for it there to begin.

I agree with the business of the last week
but shall have post, as yesterday. If I do not
close at once -

Yours truly
E. B. Smith

